
Appendix A-5

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY BUREAU OF WATER WATERSHED MANAGEMENT SECTION

LONG-TERM VISION FOR ASSESSMENT, RESTORATION, AND PROTECTION UNDER THE CWA SECTION 303(d) PROGRAM (The Vision)

Christine Davis Manager, Watershed Management Section IEPA - Bureau of Water

(Updated September 2020)



The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) -Office of Water, in cooperation with the Association of Clean Water Administrators (ACWA) - the Nation's Water Program Directors, and the Environmental Law Institute (ELI) in August of 2011 started developing the framework for the Long-Term Vision for Assessment, Restoration, and Protection under the CWA Section 303(d) Program (Vision). The Vision will help states, tribes, and US territories prioritize impaired waterbodies for Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) development, or use alternative approaches, and adaptive implementation plans for waterbodies to meet their designated uses and meet applicable water quality standards.

In December 2013, USEPA, Acting Assistant Administrator, Nancy Stoner issued a "New Long-Term Vision for Assessment, Restoration, and Protection under the Clean Water Act Section 303(d) Program" memorandum to USEPA Regional Offices and subsequently to States. Ms. Stoner's memo outlined a new Program Vision that was developed by USEPA with state input, for TMDLs developed from 2016 - 2022. The impetus behind developing the Vision was USEPA's listening to State's and their concerns over using "bean counting" for measuring TMDL program success. The new Program Vision allows States to prioritize watersheds and to develop alternative approaches for addressing impaired waters and working to bring them to Full Use Support and off the 303(d) List of Impaired Waters. Along with providing an avenue for developing alternatives to TMDLs, USEPA wanted to increase the TMDL Program's ability to integrate with other programs, increase public involvement and provide an opportunity for developing TMDLs that protect healthy waters. Within the Vision, assessment of State waters and prioritization of TMDLs remain a priority for the Program.

The Long-Term Vision frame work and the goals discussed above were formulated during the 2014 National Training Workshop on CWA 303(d) Listing and TMDLs and the timeline is as follows:

The Clean Water Act Section 303(d) Program provides for effective integration of implementation efforts to restore and protect the nation's aquatic resources, where the nation's waters are assessed, restoration and protection objectives are systematically prioritized, and Total Maximum Daily Loads and alternative approaches are adaptively implemented to achieve water quality goals with the collaboration of States, Federal agencies, tribes, stakeholders, and the public

"Engagement" By 2014, EPA and the States actively engage the public and other stakeholders to improve and protect water quality, as demonstrated by documented, inclusive, transparent, and consistent communication; requesting and sharing feedback on proposed approaches; and enhanced understanding of program objectives

"Prioritization" For the 2016 integrated reporting cycle and beyond, States review, systematically prioritize, and report priority watersheds or waters for restoration and protection in their biennial integrated reports to facilitate State strategic planning for achieving water quality goals

"Integration" By 2016, EPA and the States identify and coordinate implementation of key point source and nonpoint source control actions that foster effective integration across CWA programs, other statutory programs (e.g., CERCLA, RCRA, SDWA, CAA), and the water quality efforts of other Federal departments and agencies (e.g., Agriculture, Interior, Commerce) to achieve the water quality goals of each state

"Protection" For the 2016 reporting cycle and beyond, in addition to the traditional TMDL development priorities and schedules for waters in need of restoration, States identify protection planning priorities and approaches along with schedules to help prevent impairments in healthy waters, in a manner consistent with each State's systematic prioritization

"Alternatives" By 2018, States use alternative approaches, in addition to TMDLs, that incorporate adaptive management and are tailored to specific circumstances where such approaches are better suited to implement priority

watershed or water actions that achieve the water quality goals of each state, including identifying and reducing nonpoint sources of pollution

"Assessment" By 2020, States identify the extent of healthy and CWA Section 303(d) impaired waters in each State's priority watersheds or waters through site-specific assessments

"Evaluate accomplishments of the Vision and Goals "2022

Timeline for Goal Statements:

2014 – Engagement

2016 - Prioritization, Integration, Protection

2018 – Alternatives

2020 – Assessment (Site-specific)

2022 – Evaluate accomplishments of the Vision and Goals

States, tribes, and territories are required to submit a prioritized list of impaired waters, known as the 303(d) List, to USEPA for review and approval. The CWA also requires that a TMDL be developed for each pollutant for an impaired waterbody. The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (Agency) is responsible for carrying out the mandates of the CWA for the state of Illinois.

The Agency is working with USEPA - Region 5 to develop the Vision prioritization goals for the TMDL development program in Illinois.

The Agency has developed a Vision for Assessment, Restoration and Protection under the CWA Section 303(d) Program that is three-fold. The logic behind each strategy and how each strategy will be implemented are discussed in detail below. The three strategies are referred as:

- 1) TMDL Development Short-Term Vision Goals (2015-2018)
- 2) TMDL Development Alternative Approaches
- 3) Nutrient Priority Watersheds Long Term Vision Goals (2016-2022)

TMDL development is a process that determines the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a waterbody can receive without violating water quality standards and also meet designated uses. The Agency's Watershed Management Section and the Surface Water Section work together in the development of the Illinois Integrated Water Quality Report that has been the basis for TMDL development in Illinois.

The Agency began developing TMDLs in 1999. The Agency's first efforts were under partnership with USEPA and their chosen vendor. By 2001 the Agency began using their own federal funds to contract with consultants to develop TMDLs throughout the state and has developed a variety of TMDLs, both segment TMDLs and watershed TMDLs, as well as other alternatives to address pollutants. As discussed in Illinois Integrated Water Quality Reports the Agency continues to develop TMDLs for impaired waterbodies based on the priority ranking system of their designated uses and the severity of pollution and the number of pollutants in particular waterbody segments. One of the aspects of the TMDL development is establishing a priority based on the level of interest of watershed groups and stakeholders to address water quality issues in their respective watersheds.

The Agency started developing Load Reduction Strategies (LRSs) in 2012 for those pollutants that are listed on the Integrated Report-303(d) list that do not have numeric water quality standards. LRSs are not a substitute for TMDL development but are used as a planning tool by watershed groups until a TMDL is developed. As with a TMDL, this involves determining the loading capacity and load reduction necessary for the waterbody to meet "Full Use Support" for its designated uses.

The Agency looks for specific "Implementation Plans" that meet the nine-minimum elements of a Watershed Based Plan that may be utilized by local stakeholders to improve water quality at the local level. This approach has been successful in restoring waters impacted by nonpoint source pollution rather than point source pollution. The Agency expects the Implementation Plan to include watershed modeling to determine loads from subwatersheds for watershed planning activities. All TMDL projects that are developed after FFY-2013 are required to meet the nine-minimum elements of a Watershed Based Plan.

To date, USEPA has approved more than 89 TMDL projects that address over 500 pollutants in individual segments in several watersheds throughout the state. The Agency is currently working on 11 more TMDL watershed projects that will be addressing over 130 impairments in individual segments.

Here is the traditional approach for TMDL development in Illinois:

- TMDL projects set pollution reduction goals that are necessary to improve and ultimately meet water quality standards.
- A TMDL takes a watershed approach in determining the pollutant load that can be allowed in a given lake, stream or river. By taking a watershed approach, a TMDL considers all potential sources of pollutants, both point and nonpoint sources. It also takes into account a margin of safety, which reflects scientific uncertainty and future growth. The effects of seasonal variation are also included in the study.
- In short, a TMDL is a load capacity calculation using the following equation:

TMDL = WLA + LA + MOS + [RC]

Where: WLA= Waste Load Allocation (point sources)

LA= Load Allocation (nonpoint sources)

MOS= Margin of Safety RC= Reserve Capacity

Developing TMDLs in a watershed begins with the collection of vast amounts of data on factors including water quality, point source discharge, precipitation, soils, geology, topography, and land use (construction, agriculture, mining, etc.) within that specific watershed. All impaired waterbody segments within the watershed are identified, along with the potential pollutants causing the impairments.

The Agency will continue prioritization based on the current ranking as outlined below for identifying impaired waterbodies for TMDL development. In consultation with USEPA, the Agency has identified priority watersheds that are identified in the draft 2018 Illinois Water Quality Integrated Report. The Vision will be updated every two years to show progress of TMDL/LRS development or Alternative Approaches that have been developed for the Short-Term and Long-Term Vision goals.

• The current prioritization is based on the "Designated Uses" and Water Quality Standards, as outlined in the 2018 ILLINOIS INTEGRATED WATER QUALITY REPORT AND SECTION 303(d) LIST: https://www2.illinois.gov/epa/topics/water-quality/watershed-management/tmdls/Pages/303d-list.aspx

Impairments for Public and Food Processing Water Supply are ranked as high priority for TMDL development followed by Primary Contact as medium priority. All other watersheds are ranked by number of impairments identified for all other uses in the watershed. The low priority watersheds will be ranked from highest to lowest looking at the number of impairments (more impairments, higher ranking) with a numeric water quality standard for TMDL development. The designated use for Fish Consumption is ranked as the lowest priority and the Agency hopes to develop statewide mercury and PCBs (Toxics) TMDLs at some point in the near future. In summary the TMDL development is as follows:

- Watersheds are ranked into High, Medium, and Low priority
- Public and Food Processing Water Supply Use is ranked as high priority
- High priority watersheds are scheduled for early TMDL development,
- Impairments related to Primary Contact Use are medium priority, and
- Total number of 303(d) impairments per watershed the 10-Digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) is used for prioritizing grouping watersheds without Public and Food Processing Water Supply or Primary contact impairment.

The Agency also takes into account the interest level of watershed groups, and stakeholders in selected watersheds to schedule TMDL development for impaired waterbodies.

1. TMDL Development - Short-Term Vision Goals (2015 - 2018) a

As part of the Short-Term Vision goals, the Agency will develop TMDL watershed projects to address impairments for Atrazine, Simazine, Chloride, Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Fecal Coliform, pH, Nitrate, Nitrogen, Ammonia, Phosphorus (in lakes), and metals (Copper, Iron, Manganese, Sulfates, Zinc) to meet applicable water quality standards in water segments of the Chicago River, DuPage River/Salt Creek, Thorn Creek, Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes, Upper Fox/Flint Creek, Lou Yaeger (Lake), La Moine/Missouri Creek, Mississippi River, Upper Kaskaskia River/Shelbyville Lake, and Upper La Moine River watersheds. Since the release of the Vision memo, Illinois EPA has worked closely with Region V in developing the draft Vision.

The Illinois Short-Term Vision identified waterbody segments with Public Water Supply Use impairments as the highest priority, followed by Primary Contact Recreation (swimming). The remaining impaired waterbody segments are prioritized by the number of impaired waters within each watershed. The designated uses that are selected for developing TMDL/LRS to address impaired waterbody segments are based on the ranking priority presented below:

- Public and Food Processing Water Supply (PWS -1)
- Primary Contact Recreation (2)
- All other uses prioritized by number of impairments (3)

^a Some of the TMDL\LRS projects identified as part of the Short-Term Vision Goals (2015-2018) are in progress and are expected to be completed in 2019/2020.

The Short-Term Vision priority watersheds are presented in Table 1, and the project descriptions are as follows:

- Watershed No. 1-8 are atrazine/simazine TMDL projects developed by the Agency: All eight watershed projects have been completed and approved by USEPA Designated Use Impairment - PWS
- Watershed No. 9 is a TMDL project developed by the Agency that is in Stage 3. Designated Use Impairment PWS and Primary Contact Recreation
- Watershed No. 10-11 are Illinois State Water Survey (ISWS) TMDL projects:
 Both watershed projects have been completed and approved by USEPA
 Designated Use Impairment PWS, Primary Contact Recreation, and Aquatic Life
- 2012 Request for Procurement (RFP): 10 watershed projects -Watershed No. 12-21; all ten watershed projects have been completed and nine approved by USEPA

 Designated Use Impairment PWS, Primary Contact Recreation, and Aquatic Life, Aesthetic Quality
- 2014 RFP: 10 watershed projects Watershed No. 22-31; one watershed project has been completed and approved by USEPA, and nine are in Stage 3 of the TMDL development process

 Designated Use Impairment PWS, Primary Contact Recreation, and Aquatic Life, Aesthetic Quality

Table 1. Short-Term Vision Goals (2015-2018) – TMDL Watershed Projects

Wtrsh d No.	HUC	Area (acres)	TMDL Watershed	Segment ID	Designated Use Impairment	Waterbody Name	TMDL Parameter	LRS Parameter
1	0713001201	15,481	Carlinville Lake	IL_RDG	PWS - 1 IEPA	Carlinville Lake	Atrazine	
2	0714020205	15,876	East Fork Kaskaskia/Farina Lake	IL_OK-03 IL_SOB	PWS - 1 IEPA	East Fork Kaskaskia/Farina Lake	Simazine	
3	0512011401	46,600	Lake Mattoon/ Lake Paradise	IL_RCF IL_RCG	PWS - 1 IEPA	Lake Mattoon/Lake Paradise	Simazine	
4	0714020207 0714010610	7,200	Nashville City Lake/Washington County Lake	IL_ROO IL_RNM	PWS - 1 IEPA	Nashville City Lake/Washington County Lake	Atrazine, Simazine	
5	0512010908	188,000	North Fork Vermillion River	IL_BPG-05	PWS - 1 IEPA	North Fork Vermillion River	Atrazine	
6	0714020208	2,582	Salem City Reservoir	IL_ROR	PWS - 1 IEPA	Salem City Reservoir	Simazine	
7	0714020306	477,000	Shoal Creek	IL_OI-08	PWS - 1 IEPA	Shoal Creek	Atrazine	
8	0512011503	387,000	Skillet Fork	IL_CA-05	PWS - 1 IEPA	Skillet Fork	Atrazine	
9	0713000203 0713000208	13,700	Vermilion River	IL_DS-06 IL_DS-10 IL_DS-14	PWS - 1 Primary Contact - 2 IEPA	Vermilion River	Nitrate, Nitrogen, Fecal Coliform	
10	0713000304	15,481	Canton Lake	IL_RDD	PWS - 1 Other Impairments - 3 ISWS	Canton Lake	Manganese, <i>a</i> Phosphorus (Total)	
11	0713000310	15,876	Vermont City Reservoir/Sugar Creek	IL_RDM IL_DH-01	PWS - 1 Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 ISWS	VERMONT CITY RESERVOIR/Sugar Cr.	Atrazine, Fecal Coliform, Phosphorus (Total)	
12	0512011304	177,734	Bonpas Creek	IL_BC-02	PWS - 1 Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Bonpas Cr.	Atrazine, Manganese, ^a Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen ^b	
			Bonpas Creek	IL_BC-04	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Bonpas Cr.		Sedimentation/Siltation
			Bonpas Creek	IL_RBQ	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	WEST SALEM NEW	Phosphorus (Total)	
			Bonpas Creek	IL_RBZN	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	WEST SALEM OLD	Phosphorus (Total) ^e	

13	0712000212	110,979	Prairie Langan f	IL_FLEA- C1	PWS - 1 Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Clifton N	Boron, Copper, Ammonia (Total), Dissolved Oxygen	Sedimentation/Siltation, Phosphorus (Total)
	0712000209		Prairie Langan f	IL_FLG	PWS - 1 Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Prairie Cr.	Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen	
			Prairie Langan f	IL_FLGB- C1	PWS - 1 2012 RFP	Ashkum Cr.	Boron, Ammonia (Total), Dissolved Oxygen	Phosphorus (Total)
			Prairie Langan f	IL_FLGB- C4	PWS - 1 2012 RFP	Ashkum Cr.	Boron	Sedimentation/Siltation
	0712000212		Prairie Langan f	IL_FLGZ- C1	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Clifton South Cr	Boron, Ammonia (Total), Dissolved Oxygen	Sedimentation/Siltation, Phosphorus (Total)
14	0706000503	211,000	Galena/ Sinsinawa Rivers	IL_MQ-01	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments -3 2012 RFP	Galena R.	Zinc, Fecal Coliform	Sedimentation/Siltation, Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Galena/ Sinsinawa Rivers	IL_MS	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Sinsinawa R.		Sedimentation/Siltation
			Galena/ Sinsinawa Rivers	IL_RMA	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	FRENTRESS	Phosphorus (Total), Dissolved Oxygen	Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Turbidity
15	0714010803	10,200	Horseshoe Lake (Alexander Co.)	IL_RIA	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	HORSESHOE (ALEXANDER)	Phosphorus	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
16	0713000707	184,000	Lake Springfield	IL_EOA-04	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Sugar Cr.		Phosphorus (Total)
			Lake Springfield	IL_EOAD- 11	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Hoover Branch		Sedimentation/Siltation
			Lake Springfield	IL_REF	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	SPRINGFIELD	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
17	0713000103	80,416	Little Vermilion River (LaSalle Co.)	IL_DR-01	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Little Vermilion R.	Chloride, Zinc, pH, Fecal Coliform	Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Phosphorus (Total)
			Little Vermilion River (LaSalle Co.)	IL_DRD	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Mendota Cr.	Dissolved Oxygen	Phosphorus (Total)
18	0713000607 0713000608	328,310	Middle Sangamon River	IL_E-05	Primary Contact - 2 2012 RFP	Sangamon R.	Fecal Coliform	
			Middle Sangamon River	IL_E-06	Primary Contact - 2 2012 RFP	Sangamon R.	Fecal Coliform	
			Middle Sangamon River	IL_E-09	Primary Contact - 2 2012 RFP	Sangamon R.	Fecal Coliform	
			Middle Sangamon River	IL_E-16	Primary Contact - 2 2012 RFP	Sangamon R.	Fecal Coliform	
			Middle Sangamon River	IL_ERA-01	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Long Point Slough		Sedimentation/Siltation
	0713000607		Middle Sangamon River	IL_EZM- 02	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Buckhart Cr.	Dissolved Oxygen b	Sedimentation/Siltation
19	0709000316	515,200	Pecatonica River	IL_PW-01	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Pecatonica R.	Fecal Coliform	Sedimentation/Siltation, Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
	0709000312		Pecatonica River	IL_PW-04	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Pecatonica R.		Sedimentation/Siltation, Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
	0709000314		Pecatonica River	IL_PW-08	Primary Contact - 2 2012 RFP	Pecatonica R.	Fecal Coliform	Sedimentation/Siltation, Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
	0709000316		Pecatonica River	IL_PW-13	Primary Contact - 2 2012 RFP	Pecatonica R.	Fecal Coliform	
	0709000315		Pecatonica River	IL_PWA- 01	Primary Contact - 2	Raccoon Cr.	Fecal Coliform	
	0709000316		Pecatonica River	IL_PWF- W-C1	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Coolidge Cr.		Sedimentation/Siltation, Phosphorus (Total)
	0709000314		Pecatonica River	IL_PWL- 01	Other Impairments - 3	Winneshiek Cr.		Sedimentation/Siltation, Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Phosphorus (Total)
	0709000313		Pecatonica River	IL_PWN- 01	Primary Contact - 2 2012 RFP	Yellow Cr.	Fecal Coliform	, , ,
	0709000313		Pecatonica River	IL_PWNC	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Spring Branch	Ammonia (Total) ^c	Phosphorus (Total)
	0709000312		Pecatonica River	IL_RPA	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	LE-AQUA-NA	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

20	0714000603	311,000	Rend Lake	IL_N-08	PWS - 1 2012 RFP	Big Muddy R.	Manganese ^a , Dissolved Oxygen ^b ,	Sedimentation/Siltation, Phosphorus (Total)
							рН <i>d</i>	
			Rend Lake	IL_NI-01	PWS - 1 2012 RFP	Gun Cr.	Manganese ^a , Iron,	
							Dissolved Oxygen b,	
			Rend Lake	IL_NJ-07	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Casey Fk.	Dissolved Oxygen b , Fecal Coliform	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Rend Lake	IL_NL-01	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Snow Cr.	Dissolved Oxygen b	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Rend Lake	IL_RNB	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	REND	Phosphorus (Total), Manganese <i>a</i>	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Rend Lake	IL_RNO	Aquatic Life - 3 2012 RFP	BENTON	Phosphorus (Total)	
			Rend Lake	IL_RNU	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	JAYCEES	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Rend Lake	IL_RNZB	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	ASHLEY RESERVOIR	Phosphorus (Total), Dissolved Oxygen	Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Sedimentation/Siltation
21	0714010607	313,435	Upper Big Muddy	IL_N-06	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Big Muddy R.		Sedimentation/Siltation
			Upper Big Muddy	IL_N-11	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Big Muddy R.	Sulfates d , Fecal Coliform	Sedimentation/Siltation, Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Big Muddy	IL_N-17	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Big Muddy R.	Dissolved Oxygen	Sedimentation/Siltation, Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Big Muddy	IL_RNZD	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	HERRIN OLD	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Big Muddy	IL_NZN-13	PWS - 1 Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Andy Cr.	Manganese ^a , Iron, Dissolved Oxygen	
			Upper Big Muddy	IL_NZM- 01	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	Prairie Cr.	Sulfates d	
	0714010604		Upper Big Muddy	IL_NH-06	PWS - 1 Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	M. Fk. Big Muddy	Manganese ^a , Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen	
			Upper Big Muddy	IL_NH-07	PWS - 1 Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	M. Fk. Big Muddy	Manganese ^a , Dissolved Oxygen	Sedimentation/Siltation
			Upper Big Muddy	IL_RNP	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	West Frankfort Old	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Big Muddy	IL_RNQ	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	West Frankfort New	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
	0714010605		Upper Big Muddy	IL_RNZE	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	JOHNSTON CITY	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Big Muddy	IL_RNZX	Other Impairments - 3 2012 RFP	ARROWHEAD (WILLIAMSON)	Phosphorus (Total)	
22	0713001003	368.343	Upper La Moine	IL_DGLC- 01	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	Drowning Fork	Chloride	Phosphorus (Total), Sedimentation/Siltation, Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper La Moine	IL_DGO- 01	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	Rock Creek	Dissolved Oxygen	
			Upper La Moine	IL_DGP	PWS - 1 2014 RFP	La Harpe River	Manganese, Dissolved Oxygen	
			Upper La Moine	IL_DGP-01	PWS - 1 2014 RFP	La Harpe River	Manganese, Dissolved Oxygen	
	0713001001		Upper La Moine	IL_DGPC- 01	PWS - 1 2014 RFP	Baptist Creek	Manganese	
	0713001007		Upper La Moine	IL_DGZN- 01	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	Prairie Creek	Dissolved Oxygen	Phosphorus (Total), Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
	0713001002		Upper La Moine	IL_DGZR	PWS (1) Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	South Branch La Moine River	Manganese, Ammonia (Total), Dissolved Oxygen	Phosphorus (Total)
	0713001002		Upper La Moine	IL_RLE	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	CARTHAGE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

23	0713001011	495,350	La Moine/ Missouri Creek	IL_DG-01	Primary Contact - 2 2014 RFP	La Moine River	Fecal Coliform	
			La Moine/ Missouri Creek	IL_DG-04	Primary Contact - 2 2014 RFP	La Moine River	Fecal Coliform	
			La Moine/ Missouri Creek	IL_DGD- 01	PWS - 1 2014 RFP	Missouri Creek	Manganese	
			La Moine/ Missouri Creek	IL_DGDA- 01	PWS - 1 2014 RFP	Little Missouri Creek	Manganese, Dissolved Oxygen	
24	0714020107	1,003,869	Upper Kaskaskia River/Shelbyville Lake	IL_O-02	Primary Contact - 2 2014 RFP	Kaskaskia River	Fecal Coliform	
			Upper Kaskaskia River/Shelbyville Lake	IL_O-15	Primary Contact - 2 2014 RFP	Kaskaskia River	Fecal Coliform	
			Upper Kaskaskia River/Shelbyville Lake	IL_OQ-01	Primary Contact - 2 2014 RFP	Beck Creek	Fecal Coliform	
			Upper Kaskaskia River/Shelbyville Lake	IL_OQCA- 01	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	Coal Creek		Phosphorus (Total)
			Upper Kaskaskia River/Shelbyville Lake	IL_OT-02	Primary Contact - 2 2014 RFP	West Okaw River	Fecal Coliform	
			Upper Kaskaskia River/Shelbyville Lake	IL_OT-04	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	West Okaw River	Dissolved Oxygen b , pH d	Phosphorus (Total)
			Upper Kaskaskia River/Shelbyville Lake	IL_OU-01	Primary Contact - 2 2014 RFP	Jonathon Creek	Fecal Coliform	
			Upper Kaskaskia River/Shelbyville Lake	IL_OW-01	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	Lake Fork		Sedimentation/Siltation
			Upper Kaskaskia River/Shelbyville Lake	IL_OW-02	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	Lake Fork		Sedimentation/Siltation
			Upper Kaskaskia River/Shelbyville Lake	IL_OZZT- 01	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	Asa Creek	_{pH} <i>d</i>	Sedimentation/Siltation
25	0714020301	69,563	Lou Yaeger	IL_RON	PWS - 1 Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	LOU YAEGER LAKE	Atrazine, Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
26	0708010418	1,119,868	Mississippi	IL_K-22	PWS - 1 2014 - RFP	Mississippi River	Atrazine g	
27	0712000610	167,816	Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_RTT	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	ANTIOCH LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_VTJ	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP - 3	BLUFF LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_RTD	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	LAKE CATHERINE	Phosphorus (Total)	
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_RTI	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	CHANNEL LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_STQ	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	DAVIS LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_VTD	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	DEEP LAKE	Fecal Coliform	
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_VTH	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	DUNN'S LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_RTZG	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	DUCK LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_VTK	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	FISH-DUNCAN LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_VTT	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	FISCHER LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL _RTF	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	FOX LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_DT-35	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	Fox River		Sedimentation/Siltation
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_RTQ	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	GRASS LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_UTM	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	HIDDEN LAKE	Phosphorus (Total), Dissolved Oxygen,	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
					10		pH d	

			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_RTJ	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	LONG LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL RTR	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	LAKE MARIE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_UTX	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	MCGREAL LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	, , ,
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_RTUA	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	NIPPERSINK LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_STR	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	NORTH CHURCHILL LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_VTW	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	PETITE LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_RTU	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	PISTAKEE LAKE	Phosphorus (Total), Ammonia (Total) ^c	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_RTH	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	ROUND LAKE		Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_STS	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	SOUTH CHURCHILL LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_RGZT	Other Impairments - 3	SPRING LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_WTA	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	SUMMERHILL ESTATE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_UTW	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	LAKE TRANQUILITY	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_VTZA	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	TURNER LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	IL_ RTZH	Other Impairments - 3 2014 RFP	WOOSTER LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	
28	0712000302	66,520	Thorn Creek	IL_HBD- 02	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments -3 2014 - RFP	Thorn Creek	Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen, Silver, Zinc	Phosphorus (Total), Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Thorn Creek	IL_HBD- 03	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	Thorn Creek	Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen	
			Thorn Creek	IL_HBD- 04	Primary Contact - 2 2014 - RFP	Thorn Creek	Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen, Chloride	Phosphorus (Total)
			Thorn Creek	IL_HBD- 05	Primary Contact - 2 2014 - RFP	Thorn Creek	Fecal Coliform	Phosphorus (Total)
			Thorn Creek	IL_HBD- 06	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	Thorn Creek	Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen, Chloride	Phosphorus (Total)
			Thorn Creek	IL_HBDA- 01	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	North Creek	Dissolved Oxygen	Sedimentation/Siltation
			Thorn Creek	IL_HBDB- 03	Primary Contact - 2 2014 - RFP	Butterfield Creek	Fecal Coliform	
			Thorn Creek	IL_ HBDC	Primary Contact - 2 2014 - RFP	Deer Creek	Fecal Coliform	Phosphorus (Total)
			Thorn Creek	IL_HBDC- 02	Primary Contact - 2 2014 - RFP	Deer Creek	Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen	Phosphorus (Total), Sedimentation/Siltation
			Thorn Creek	IL_RHI	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	SAUK TRAIL	Phosphorus (Total), Dissolved Oxygen	Sedimentation/Siltation, Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
29	0712000301	86,400	Chicago River- North Branch	IL_HCC-07	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	North Branch	Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen, Chloride	Phosphorus (Total), Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Chicago River- North Branch	IL_HCCB- 05	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	West Fork	Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen, Chloride	Phosphorus (Total), Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Chicago River- North Branch	IL_HCCC- 02	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	Middle Fork	Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen, Chloride	Phosphorus (Total), Sedimentation/Siltation, Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Chicago River- North Branch	IL_HCCC- 04	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	Middle Fork	Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen, Chloride, Water Temperature	Phosphorus (Total), Sedimentation/Siltation, Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Chicago River- North Branch	IL_HCCD- 01	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	Skokie River	Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen, Chloride	Phosphorus (Total), Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

_								
			Chicago River- North Branch	IL_HCCD- 09	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	Skokie River	Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen, Chloride	Phosphorus (Total), Sedimentation/Siltation
			Chicago River- North Branch	IL_RHJ	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	SKOKIE LAGOONS	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Chicago River- North Branch	IL_RHJA	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	CHICAGO BOTANIC GARDEN	Phosphorus (Total)	, ,
			Chicago River- North Branch	IL_UHH	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	EAGLE LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
30	0712000611	108,156	Upper Fox/Flint Creek	IL_RTZT	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	LAKE BARRINGTON	Fecal Coliform, Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Flint Creek	IL_UTI	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	DRUMMOND LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Flint Creek	IL_RTZR	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	ECHO LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Flint Creek	IL_DT-22	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	Fox River	Fecal Coliform, Chloride, Copper	Sedimentation/Siltation
			Upper Fox/Flint Creek	IL_VTI	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	GRASSY LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Flint Creek	IL_RTZU	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	HONEY LAKE	Fecal Coliform, Phosphorus (Total)	
			Upper Fox/Flint Creek	IL_RTZI	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	ISLAND LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Flint Creek	IL_STK	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	LAKE FAIRVIEW	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Flint Creek	IL_STO	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	LAKE NAPA SUWE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Flint Creek	IL _VTZJ	Other Impairments -3 2014 - RFP	LAKE LOUISE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Flint Creek	IL_RTP	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	SLOCUM LAKE	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Flint Creek	IL_RTZQ	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	TIMBER LAKE (SOUTH)	Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Flint Creek	IL_RTZF	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	TOWER LAKE	Fecal Coliform, Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			Upper Fox/Flint Creek	IL_STV	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	WOODLAND (HIGHLAND) LAKE	Dissolved Oxygen, Phosphorus (Total)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
31	0712000408	332,600	DuPage River/Salt Creek	IL_GB-01	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	DuPage River		Phosphorus (Total)
			DuPage River/Salt Creek	IL_GB-11	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	DuPage River	Fecal Coliform, Chloride	Phosphorus (Total), Sedimentation/Siltation
			DuPage River/Salt Creek	IL_GB-16	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	DuPage River	Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen	Phosphorus (Total)
			DuPage River/Salt Creek	IL_GBK-05	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	West Branch DuPage River	Fecal Coliform	Phosphorus (Total), Sedimentation/Siltation, Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			DuPage River/Salt Creek	IL_GBK-09	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	West Branch DuPage River	Fecal Coliform	Phosphorus (Total), Sedimentation/Siltation
			DuPage River/Salt Creek	IL_GBK-14	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	West Branch DuPage River	Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen, pH d	
			DuPage River/Salt Creek	IL_GBL-08	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	East Branch DuPage River	pH d	Phosphorus (Total), Sedimentation/Siltation, Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
			DuPage River/Salt Creek	IL_GBL-10	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	East Branch DuPage River	Fecal Coliform, pH ^d	Phosphorus (Total)
	0712000404		DuPage River/Salt Creek	IL_GBKA	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	Spring Brook	Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen, Chloride	Phosphorus (Total)

	DuPage River/Salt Creek	IL_GBKA- 01	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	Spring Brook	Fecal Coliform, Copper d	Phosphorus (Total)
	DuPage River/Salt Creek	IL_GL	Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	Salt Creek		Phosphorus (Total)
	DuPage River/Salt Creek	IL_GL-09	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	Salt Creek	Fecal Coliform	Phosphorus (Total), Sedimentation/Siltation
	DuPage River/Salt Creek	IL_GL-10	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	Salt Creek	Fecal Coliform, pH d, Nickel d	
	DuPage River/Salt Creek	IL_GL-19	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	Salt Creek	Fecal Coliform	Phosphorus (Total)
	DuPage River/Salt Creek	IL_GLA- 02	Primary Contact - 2 Other Impairments - 3 2014 - RFP	Addison Creek	Fecal Coliform, Nickel ^d	Phosphorus (Total)

^a Manganese - TMDL was not developed due to water quality standard (WQS) changes and the parameter was delisted from the 303(d) list

There are 31 TMDL Watershed Projects as part of the Short-Term Vision Goal (2015-2018) – TMDL Development/Alternative Approach that will address about 232 pollutants upon completion, and they are currently at different stages in the TMDL development process. The TMDL development stages are as follows:

Stage 1= watershed characterization and model selection, includes a public meeting

Stage 2= water quality monitoring if required for additional data

Stage 3= run the models and develop TMDL numbers, includes a public meeting

^b Dissolved Oxygen (DO) – TMDL was not developed, based on the TMDL study either it was determined that the cause of DO impairment was low flow stream conditions or Aquatic Life Use was Full Support and DO was delisted

^c Ammonia (Total), – TMDL was not developed based on additional Stage 2 Monitoring and the parameter were delisted from the 303(d) list

^d Boron, Copper, Nickel, pH, Sulfate - TMDLs were not developed for these parameters - delisted from the 303(d) list

^e Phosphorus (Total) – TMDL was not developed for West Salem Old Lake/Reservoir, as the surface area is less than 20 acres, instead LRS was developed

f Prairie Langan Watershed –TMDL was not developed for this watershed based on the Stage 2 Monitoring results and the TMDL parameters were delisted. The project was converted to a Watershed Implementation Plan to address LRS parameters.

^g Atrazine - TMDL was not developed based on additional Stage 2 Monitoring and the parameter was delisted from the 303(d) list. However, a draft "TMDL - Alternative Protection plan" has been developed.

The Short-Term Vision TMDL projects (approved\ongoing) are presented in Tables 2-5. Table 2 shows Atrazine /Simazine TMDLs developed by Agency staff and Table 3 shows two TMDL projects developed by Illinois State Water Survey (Canton Lake and Vermont City Reservoir/Sugar Creek); and the Vermilion River TMDL project being developed by Agency staff. Table 4 (2012 - RFP TMDL) and Table 5 (2014 - RFP TMDL) watershed projects are being developed by TMDL contractors.

The Agency developed 10 TMDL Watershed Projects (in-house) that addressed 15 Atrazine/Simazine TMDL pollutants during the 2014 - Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) to remove waterbody segments from the impaired waters 303(d) list. We received approval for 2 projects (Spring Lake Watershed TMDL and Lake Glenn Shoals Watershed TMDL) on September 29, 2014, and the remaining projects were approved September 28, 2016. The watershed numbers for Tables 2-5 coincides with the watershed numbers in Table 1.

Table 2. Atrazine/Simazine TMDL Watershed Projects (developed by Agency staff)

Watershed No.	TMDL Watershed	Watershed Area (approximate in acres)	TMDL Development Stage	Final TMDL Approval Date
1	Carlinville Lake	15,481	Approved	September 28, 2016
2	East Fork Kaskaskia/Farina Lake	15,876	Approved	September 28, 2016
3	Lake Mattoon/Lake Paradise	46,600	Approved	September 28, 2016
4	Nashville City Lake/Washington County Lake	7,200	Approved	September 28, 2016
5	North Fork Vermillion River	188,000	Approved	September 28, 2016
6	Salem City Reservoir	2,582	Approved	September 28, 2016
7	Shoal Creek	477,000	Approved	September 28, 2016
8	Skillet Fork	387,000	Approved	September 28, 2016

The Agency entered into Phase II Intergovernmental Agreement with the Illinois State Water Survey (ISWS) for Stage 3 TMDL development and implementation plans for Canton Lake Watershed and Vermont City Reservoir/Sugar Creek Watershed. The Canton Lake Watershed project has been completed and was approved June 28, 2017. Canton Lake had a TMDL developed for one pollutant. The Vermont City Reservoir/Sugar Creek Watershed project has been completed and was approved April 30, 2019. Vermont City Reservoir had TMDLs developed for two pollutants and Sugar Creek had one TMDL developed. The Vermilion River TMDL project is an in-house TMDL project developed by Agency staff and currently is in Stage 3. The watershed area, the TMDL development stage, and the project completion dates for these projects are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. TMDL Watershed Projects (ISWS and IEPA)

Watershed No.	TMDL Watershed	Watershed Area (approximate in acres)	TMDL Development Stage	Final TMDL Completion/Approval Date
9	Vermilion River	13,700	Stage 3	FFY- 21
10	Canton Lake	15,481	Approved	June 28, 2017
11	Vermont City Reservoir/Sugar Creek	15,876	Approved	April 30, 3019

As part of the 2012 RFP TMDL; nine projects have been completed and approved by USEPA, and one draft TMDL report was replaced with a Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) as shown in Table 4 below.

Table 4. 2012 RFP TMDL Watershed Projects

Watershed No.	TMDL Watershed	Watershed Area (approximate in acres)	TMDL Development Stage	Final TMDL Completion/Approval Date
12	Bonpas Creek	177,734	Approved	March 13, 2019
13	Prairie /Langan Creeks	110,979	WIP**	February 7, 2018
14	Galena/Sinsinawa Rivers	211,000	Approved	June 4, 2018
15	Horseshoe Lake (Alexander Co.)	10,200	Approved	August 22, 2016
16	Lake Springfield & Sugar Creek	184,000	Approved	September 29, 2017
17	Little Vermilion River (LaSalle Co.)	80,416	Approved	May 4, 2018
18	Middle Sangamon River	328,310	Approved	July 11, 2018
19	Pecatonica River	515200	Approved	July 25, 2018
20	Rend Lake	311,000	Approved	September 29, 2017
21	Upper Big Muddy River	313,435	Approved	May 15, 2019

^{*}FFY – Federal Fiscal Year

The 2014 RFP TMDL (see Table 5) includes two groups of watershed projects:

Group - A (Watershed Projects No. 22-26), and Group - B (Watershed Projects No. 27-31) TMDL watershed projects. The Group-A projects are newer TMDL watershed projects, while Group-B watershed projects are from earlier TMDL contracts that were not completed on time. One Group A project report has been completed and approved by USEPA, and nine are in Stage 3 of the TMDL development process.


^{**} Converted to Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP)

Table 5. 2014 RFP TMDL Watershed Projects

Watershed No.	TMDL Watershed	Watershed Area (approximate-in acres)	TMDL Development Stage	Final Draft Completion/Approval Timeline
22	Upper La Moine	368.343	Stage 3	FFY - 21
23	La Moine/Missouri Creek	495,350	Approved	September 12, 2019
24	Upper Kaskaskia River	1,003,869	Approved	September 24, 2018
25	Lou Yeager	69,563	Stage 3	FFY - 21
26	Mississippi	1,119,868	Stage 3	FFY - 21
27	Upper Fox/Chain O'Lakes	167,816	Approved	June 4, 2020
28	Thorn Creek	66,520	Stage 3	FFY - 21
29	North Branch -Chicago River	86,400	Approved	April 13, 2020
30	Upper Fox/Flint Creek	108,156	Approved	June 2, 2020
31	DuPage River/Salt Creek	332,600	Approved	September 5, 2019

The Watershed Management Section and the Surface Water Section work closely in the development of the Illinois Integrated Water Quality Report to list and identify impaired waterbody segments and develop TMDLs based on the priority ranking discussed earlier in this report (see page 67). The ILLINOIS WATER MONITORING STRATEGY (2015-2020) will be the guiding document for monitoring, and the Agency will be following the 5-year Intensive Basin Survey rotation strategy (Figure 1) to identify impaired waters based on the current prioritization methodology for TMDL development, or for Alternative Approaches to address the identified impaired waterbody segments that make up Category 5 and Alt. 5 of the 303(d) List. This approach will also coincide with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits – five-year permit renewal cycle that will help permit engineers and staff from the Water Quality Standards (WQS) to include a Waste Load Allocation (WLA) in NPDES permits where TMDLs have been developed and WLA is recommended to be included in NPDES permits.

Figure 1. Intensive Basin Surveys 2015-2020 Monitoring Schedule



2. TMDL Development – Alternative Approaches

Illinois Vision proposes three alternatives for developing TMDLs:

- The Fox River Study Group (FRSG) has selected an Alternative Plan the Fox River Implementation Plan (FRIP), to address dissolved oxygen and algae impairments in the Fox River Watershed. The consultants for the group are using watershed models such as QUAL2K on how to address load allocations among different entities. The draft report was completed on December 17, 2015 and submitted to USEPA for review/comment on December 2016, and additional DO modeling has been recommended and the study is in progress at this time. The NPDES Permit(s) FRIP Special Condition language for those major facilities (primarily publicly owned treatment works (POTWs)) in the study area will be updated upon the permit renewal to meet the timeline of the modeling project. In the event the implementation of the FRIP does not eventually meet the water quality standards, the Agency will develop a TMDL to address the impairments.
- Watershed-Based Plan (WBP) Watershed-based planning has increased stakeholder participation because of the local efforts and site-specific implementation planning that occurs through the watershed planning process. The WBP will be used as an "Alternative to TMDL" since the planning efforts increase the likelihood of implementation activities of best management practices. This approach is encouraged to get waters removed from the Impaired Waters 303 (d) list prior to TMDLs being developed and reduce the cost associated with TMDL development.
- Load Reduction Strategy (LRS) The Agency is planning to use LRSs as an alternative for TMDL development where possible. The Agency started developing LRSs in 2012 for those pollutants that are listed on the Illinois Integrated Water Quality Report-303(d) list that do not have numeric water quality standards. LRSs are not a substitute for TMDL development but are used as planning tools until a TMDL is developed. As with a TMDL, this involves determining the loading capacity and load reduction necessary for the waterbody to meet "Full Use Support" for its designated uses. The Agency will work with USEPA to determine the necessary elements of LRSs for TMDL Alternatives.

The vision frame work and long-term goals for the Illinois TMDL program are discussed below:

"Engagement" By 2014, EPA and the States actively engage the public and other stakeholders to improve and protect water quality, as demonstrated by documented, inclusive, transparent, and consistent communication; requesting and sharing feedback on proposed approaches; and enhanced understanding of program objectives

The Agency has been actively working with several Watershed Groups/Stakeholders, Water Quality Management Agencies, Illinois Department of Natural Resources (IDNR), Illinois Department of Agriculture (IDOA), United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) - Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS), United States Geological Survey (USGS), Illinois State Water Survey (ISWS), County Soil and Water Conservation Districts, Municipalities, Environmental Groups, landowners, etc., to address the water quality issues as part of the TMDL development process. In addition to the TMDL information available on the Agency's website and the public notice notification for the draft TMDL development, the Agency meets with stakeholders before the first public notice meeting (pre-public meeting) to address watershed issues that are relevant and of interest to watershed groups and stakeholders and incorporate those suggestions in the TMDL development process.

Some of the Agency engagements are listed below:

- The Agency continues to work with Fox River Study Group (FRSG), DuPage/Salt Creek Work Group (DRSCW), and other stakeholders in several watersheds and participates in their monthly/bimonthly stakeholder meetings to address phosphorus, dissolved oxygen (DO) and algal impairments that also include lake restoration projects that are tied to TMDLs. The removal of dams has taken the focal point of discussion among watershed workgroups to meet the DO water quality standards in impaired river segments.
- The Upper Des Plaines River Watershed Workgroup of Lake County (DRWW) continues to address water quality issues in the Upper Des Plaines watershed, because the main stem of the Des Plaines River has been placed on the 303(d) list for phosphorus, DO, chloride, and other impairments such as metals. The DRWW has developed a monitoring plan and work is in progress to complete the task.
- The Hickory Creek Watershed Planning Group is developing a Watershed Based Plan and through follow up monitoring will determine whether they need to develop a "Third Party TMDL" to address water quality issues.
- The North Branch Chicago River Watershed Workgroup (NBWW) has recently been formed with a goal to address water quality issues in the Chicago River North Branch Watershed. The Agency is working with stakeholders to complete the Chicago River North Branch Stage 3 Draft TMDL to address DO, Chloride, Fecal Coliform, and TP impairments in the watershed.

"Integration" By 2016, EPA and the States identify and coordinate implementation of key point source and nonpoint source control actions that foster effective integration across CWA programs, other statutory programs (e.g., CERCLA, RCRA, SDWA, CAA), and the water quality efforts of other Federal departments and agencies (e.g., Agriculture, Interior, Commerce) to achieve the water quality goals of each state

The Watershed Management Section will continue to work with other Agency-Bureau of Water Programs (such as Permits, Water Quality Standards (WQS), Surface Water Section, Infrastructure Financial Assistance Section), including other Agency Programs (such as the Bureau of Land and Bureau of Air) during the Stage 3 TMDL development process to get input from all programs for developing WLA for NPDES permits, load allocation for nonpoint source urban and agricultural runoff and also discuss implementation plans for best management practice to meet water quality standards.

The Fox River Study Group (FRSG) – TMDL/Alternative Plan – Fox River Implementation Plan (FRIP) is one of the examples where Agency Bureau of Water Programs (Permit Section and Watershed Management Section) have been working with FRSG to address dissolved oxygen and algal impairments in the Fox River Watershed. As a result of these efforts, the NPDES Permit for major dischargers (DAF =1.0 MGD and above) for members of the FRSG has been issued with this Special Condition:

SPECIAL CONDITION: The Permittee shall participate in the Fox River Study Group (FRSG). The Permittee shall work with other watershed members of the FRSG to determine the most cost-effective means to remove dissolved oxygen (DO) and offensive condition impairments in the Fox River. This Permit may be modified to include additional conditions and effluent limitations to include implementation measures based on the Fox River Implementation Plan (Implementation Plan). The following tasks will be completed during the life of this permit:

- 1. The Permittee shall prepare a phosphorus removal feasibility report specific to its plant(s) on the method, time frame and costs for reducing its loading of phosphorus to levels equivalent to monthly average discharges of 1 mg/L and 0.5 mg/L on a seasonal basis and on a year-round basis. The feasibility report shall be submitted to the Agency (12) months from the effective date of the Permit. The feasibility report shall also be shared with the FRSG.
- 2. The Permittee shall submit the Fox River Study Group Watershed Investigation Phase III Report, which includes stream modeling, to the Agency within one month of the effective date of this Permit.
- 3. The FRSG will complete an Implementation Plan that identifies phosphorus input reductions by point source discharges, nonpoint source discharges and other measures necessary to remove DO and offensive condition impairments in the Fox River. The Implementation Plan shall be submitted to the IEPA by December 31, 2015. The Permittee shall initiate the recommendations of the Implementation Plan that is applicable to said Permittee during the remaining term of this Permit. This Permit may be modified to include additional pollutant reduction activities necessary to implement the Implementation Plan.
- 4. In the application for renewal of this permit, the Permittee shall consider and incorporate recommended FRSG phosphorus input reduction implementation projects that the Permittee will implement during the next permit term.
- 5. The Permittee shall operate the existing facilities to optimize the removal of phosphorus.

The Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) General Permit for FRSG members will also include the Fox River Implementation Plan (FRIP) by reference to meet water quality goals in the study area.

In addition, the Permittees are expected to meet a phosphorus limit of 1.0 mg/L (Annual Average), and it will be necessary to modify existing treatment facilities to include phosphorus removal, reduce phosphorus sources or explore other ways to prevent discharges that exceed the limit. At this point, permitting, design and construction of nutrient removal facilities are in progress. The timeline for completing the project(s) is specified in the NPDES permit.

"Protection" For the 2016 reporting cycle and beyond, in addition to the traditional TMDL development priorities and schedules for waters in need of restoration, States identify protection planning priorities and approaches along with schedules to help prevent impairments in healthy waters, in a manner consistent with each State's systematic prioritization

Healthy waters are low priority at this time. The primary focus remains addressing impaired waters. However, protection strategies will be developed as needed. Currently the Agency's Nutrient Criteria Development Workgroup has been discussing with several State/Federal Agencies to address this issue. The Vision will be updated every two years and once protection planning strategies are developed they will be incorporated in the plan.

The Long-Term Vision for Assessment, Restoration, and Protection under the CWA Section 303(d) Program - (The Vision) will be referenced in the Illinois Draft 2018 Integrated Water Quality Report to inform the public of the Vision development process. The Illinois Vision is available on the Agency's TMDL website: https://www2.illinois.gov/epa/topics/water-quality/watershed-management/tmdls/Pages/default.aspx

3. Nutrient Priority Watersheds - Long -Term Vision Goals (2016-2022)

The Long-Term Nutrient Priority Watersheds TMDL development process will focus on nutrient load capacities and will be similar to the Traditional TMDL development strategy (Short Term Vision Goals) discussed in Section 1 of this report.

Watershed Selection Process

The Illinois Nutrient Loss Reduction Strategy (NLRS) document was developed by a policy work group led by the Agency, and the Illinois Department of Agriculture. Group members included representatives from state and federal agencies, agriculture, non-profit organizations, scientists and wastewater treatment professionals. Staff from the Illinois Water Resource Center facilitated the NLRS discussion among the workgroup and the public meetings. The final document addressing concerns was completed in July 2015, and the NLRS biennial report was completed in July 2017. The NLRS identified eleven - 8 HUC basins as priority watersheds for reducing nutrient losses. Chapter Four of the NLRS walks through the process of identifying the State's priorities https://www2.illinois.gov/epa/topics/water-quality/watershed-management/excess-nutrients/Pages/nutrient-loss-reduction-strategy.aspx. Nutrient loads export was the major prioritization criteria used.

Having priority watersheds in place gave the Agency a starting point for identifying a working Vision that would lead to restoration through the 303(d) program. To identify Vision watersheds the starting point were the 10 HUC watersheds within the 8 HUC basins. The next step was to begin eliminating 10 HUC watersheds; this was done for a variety of reasons:

- No/low nutrient impairments in a 10 HUC watershed
- TMDL already completed for nutrient impairments
- Significant implementation activity already occurring
- No 303(d) or 305(b) listings
 - o No assessment information available
 - o Full Use Support for all assessed waters

With many of the 10 HUC watersheds now eliminated from consideration, the watersheds were considered top priority by looking at different parameters:

- Number of nutrient impairments 303(d) and 305(b)
- Number of impaired waterbodies
- The year each basin is scheduled to be monitored
- Number of point sources, for the point source priority watersheds
- Number of potential TMDLs (Fecal coliform will be used as indicator of potential total phosphorus (TP) and total nitrogen (TN) impairment and potential nutrient loading)
- Potential for stakeholder involvement and future participation

NOTE: Dissolved Oxygen is considered a nutrient impairment in that it can be the result of high phosphorus or nitrogen levels that lead to excessive algal blooms and increased macrophyte growth. Fecal coliform bacteria are considered a potential nutrient indicator as well for this process as it is an indicator of human and/or animal waste.

Ultimately eight -10 HUC watersheds within four 8 HUC basins have been selected as the Agency's "Vision" watersheds.

• Lower Rock River Basin 07090005 – Point Source Priority and Nitrogen Nonpoint Source Priority

- o 0709000501 Rock River/Pierce Lake Watershed
- o 0709000503 Kyte River Watershed
- Vermilion-Wabash River Basin 05120109 Nitrogen Nonpoint Source Priority
 - o 0512010901 Big Four Ditch Watershed
 - o 0512010902 Saline Branch Watershed
- Embarrass River Basin 05120112 Total Phosphorus Nonpoint Source Priority
 - o 0512011206 Kickapoo Creek Watershed
 - o 0512011211 Big Creek Watershed
- Little Wabash River Basin 05120114 Total Phosphorus Nonpoint Source Priority
 - o 0512011401 Little Wabash River/Green Creek Watershed
 - o 0512011402 Salt Creek Watershed

2015 (Refer to Figure 1. Intensive Basin Surveys 2015-2020 Monitoring Schedule)

Develop monitoring strategy for watersheds to be monitored in 2016. This will include revisiting previously sampled stations and, as appropriate, adding additional sampling locations to characterize the watersheds. Monitoring protocol will follow the Agency's Intensive Basin Survey program.

- Embarrass Basin 05120112
 - o 0512011206 Kickapoo Creek Watershed
 - o 0512011211 Big Creek Watershed
- Vermilion-Wabash Basin 05120109
 - o 0512010901 Big Four Ditch Watershed
 - o 0512010902 Saline Branch Watershed

2016 (Refer to Figure 1. Intensive Basin Surveys 2015-2020 Monitoring Schedule)

Monitoring initiated and completed for the watersheds strategized during **2015** (Embarrass Basin - Kickapoo Creek/Big Creek Watersheds and Vermilion-Wabash Basin - Big Four Ditch/Saline Branch Watersheds).

Develop monitoring strategy for watersheds to be monitored in **2017**. This will include revisiting previously sampled stations and, as appropriate, adding additional sampling locations to characterize the watersheds. Monitoring protocol will follow the Agency's Intensive Basin Survey program.

- Little Wabash Basin 05120114
 - o 0512011401 Little Wabash River/Green Creek Watershed
 - o 0512011402 Salt Creek Watershed

2017 (Refer to Figure 1. Intensive Basin Surveys 2015-2020 Monitoring Schedule)

Monitoring initiated and completed for the watersheds strategized during **2016** (Little Wabash River/Green Creek, and Salt Creek Watersheds).

Develop monitoring strategy for watersheds to be monitored in **2018**. This will include revisiting previously sampled stations and, as appropriate, adding additional sampling locations to characterize the watersheds. Monitoring protocol will follow the Agency's Intensive Basin Survey program.

- Lower Rock Basin 07090005
 - o 0709000501 Rock River/Pierce Lake Watershed
 - o 0709000503 Kyte River Watershed

Assess watersheds sampled in the previous year (Embarrass Basin - Kickapoo Creek/Big Creek Watersheds and Vermilion-Wabash Basin - Big Four Ditch/Saline Branch Watersheds).

Begin TMDL and watershed-based plan (WBP) development for TP, TN, DO, and bacteria in the watersheds sampled in 2016.

2018 (Refer to Figure 1. Intensive Basin Surveys 2015-2020 Monitoring Schedule)

Monitoring initiated and completed for the watersheds strategized during 2017 (Rock River/Pierce Lake, and Kyte River Watersheds).

Assess watersheds sampled in the previous year (Little Wabash River/Green Creek, and Salt Creek Watersheds).

Begin TMDL and WBP development process for TP, TN, DO, and bacteria in the watersheds sampled in **2017**. Request For Proposal (RFP) to develop the 2018 Vision TMDL\WBP Projects was completed in 2018.

2019 (Contract Agreement with a TMDL Vendor is in progress for TMDL\WBP Development) Assess watersheds sampled in the previous year (Rock River/Pierce Lake, and Kyte River Watersheds).

Begin TMDL and WBP development TP, TN, DO, and bacteria in the watersheds sampled in 2018.

Complete TMDLs and watershed-based planning efforts begun in 2017.

<u>2020</u>

Complete TMDLs and watershed-based planning efforts begun in 2018.

2021

Complete TMDLs and watershed-based planning efforts begun in 2019.

2022

Evaluate accomplishments of the Vision – Short and Long-Term objectives.

Assess program success:

The 305(b) assessments of the following waters identified in Table 6 in 2022 and thereafter will be used as three indicators: 1) potential problems with unassessed waters 2) further actions are needed to get implementation kick started, and 3) in some cases there are nonpollutants as part of 305(b), we would address these through the watershed-based implementation plan as well.

Table 6. Basins, Watersheds, Segments and Pollutants to be addressed by the "Vision"

Basin/Watershed	HUC	Segment/Causes	Watershed	HUC	Segment/Causes
<u>Embarrass</u>	<u>05120112</u>	Monitoring: 2016			
Kickapoo Creek	0512011206		Big Creek	0512011211	
	303(d)	BENA-01: DO		303(d)	BEDB-01: DO, Mn, TP
<u>Vermilion-</u> <u>Wabash</u>	<u>05120109</u>	Monitoring: 2016			
Big Four Ditch	0512010901		Saline Branch	0512010902	
	303(d)	BPKP-01: DO		303(d)	BPJC-08: pH
		BPKP-02: DO			BPJCA: Cu, DO, TP
Little Wabash	<u>05120114</u>	Monitoring: 2017			
Little Wabash River/Green Creek	0512011401		Salt Creek	0512011402	
	303(d)	CSB-07: TP		303(d)	CPC-TU-C1: TP
		CSB-08: TP			CP-04: TP, Sed/Silt, TSS
		C-21: DO, Hg*			CP-EF-C2: TP
		C-24: Hg*, DO			CP-EF-C4: TP
		RCF: Hg*, Simazine			CP-TU-C3:TP
		RCG: TSS, DO Turb., Hg*, Simazine			CPD-01: Mn, TP
		RCE: Hg*			CPD-03: TP, Sed/Silt, TSS
					CP-05: NA

					CPC-TU-C1: TP
					CPC-TU-A1:NA
					CPA-01: NA
					CPD-03: TP, TSS,
					Sed/Silt
					CPD-01: Mn, TP
					CPD-04: TP, TSS, DO
					CPB:NA
Lower Rock	<u>07090005</u>	Monitoring: 2018			
Rock River/	0709000501		Kyte River	0709000503	
Pierce Lake					
	303(d)	P-15: Hg*, PCBs*		303(d)	PL-03: fecal
		PR-01: fecal			PLBA: Uknw
		PR-99: Arsenic,			PLB-C1: DO, TP,
		Methoxychlor*,			Sed/Silt
		ph., zinc, fecal			
		PSA: fecal			PLB-C3: Uknw
		PSB-01: fecal		305(b)	PLC-01: NA
		PT: fecal			PLB-03: NA
		PU: fecal			PLD: NA
		PV-01: Uknw			PL-18: NA
		PZZG: fecal			PL-99: NA
		RPC: TP, Hg*			

Cause abbreviations:

Cu: copper	Mn: manganese	TP: total phosphorus
DO: dissolved oxygen	NA: not assessed	TSS: total suspended solids
Fecal: Fecal coliform bacteria	PCB: polychlorinated biphenyl*	Uknw: unknown
Hg: mercury*	Sed/Silt: sedimentation/siltation	

^{*} Due to the source of some pollutants (atmospheric and legacy) they will not be addressed during the phases following monitoring of the watersheds. These pollutants currently are:

- Mercury (Hg)
- Polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB)
- Methoxychlor

Every TMDL/LRS watershed project will include a USEPA nine-minimum element watershed plan that includes an implementation plan for best management practices to address agricultural and urban stormwater runoff to meet water quality standards and achieve the goals of the Vision as part of the TMDL development process.